

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com) ScienceDirect

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007) 753–765

---

*Journal of*  
MATHEMATICAL  
ANALYSIS AND  
APPLICATIONS

---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa)

# On Henstock–Kurzweil and McShane integrals of Banach space-valued functions

Guoju Ye <sup>1</sup>*College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, PR China*

Received 11 February 2006

Available online 7 September 2006

Submitted by B. Bongiorno

---

## Abstract

This paper deals with the relation between the McShane integral and the Henstock–Kurzweil integral for the functions mapping a compact interval  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  into a Banach space  $X$  and some other questions in connection with the McShane integral and the Henstock–Kurzweil integral of Banach space-valued functions. We prove that if a Banach space-valued function  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and satisfies Property (P), then  $I_0$  can be written as a countable union of closed sets  $E_n$  such that  $f$  is McShane integrable on each  $E_n$  when  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ . We further give an answer to the Karták's question. © 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Pettis integral; McShane integral; Henstock–Kurzweil integral

---

## 1. Introduction

It is known that the McShane integral and the Henstock–Kurzweil integral are two kinds of the Riemann-type integral. For real-valued functions the McShane integral is equivalent to the Lebesgue integral and the Henstock–Kurzweil integral is equivalent to the Perron integral. R.A. Gordon [7] generalized the definition of the McShane integral for real-valued functions to functions from intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  to Banach spaces and discussed some of its properties. S.S. Cao in [10] defined the Henstock–Kurzweil integral for Banach space-valued functions. It is easy

---

*E-mail address:* [yegj@hhu.edu.cn](mailto:yegj@hhu.edu.cn).

<sup>1</sup> The author is supported by Science Foundation of Hohai University.

to see from the corresponding definitions that for Banach space-valued functions the McShane integrability implies Henstock–Kurzweil integrability.

We are looking into the following problem: Is it true that if a Banach space-valued function  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on an interval  $I_0$  then  $I_0$  can be written as a countable union of closed sets  $E_n$  such that  $f$  is McShane integrable on each  $E_n$ ?

This is an interesting and unanswered question. In this paper we give under suitable condition over the function  $f$  (see Property (P)) an affirmative answer to it when Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ .

Furthermore, in his memoir [1] K.M. Ostaszewski mentioned that “the question posed by Karták in [5]—whether, for a Perron-integrable function, one can find a nondegenerate interval on which it is Lebesgue-integrable—remains unanswered.” For the one-dimensional real-function’s case this is a known result of [14] and for the  $m$ -dimensional real-function’s case it was answered by Z. Buczolich in [2]. Moreover, Z. Buczolich’s method in [2] cannot be used for the Banach space’s case. Because the Henstock lemma does not hold in an infinite-dimensional Banach space (see [10]). In this paper, we use the other way to give an answer to the question posed by Karták in [5] for the  $m$ -dimensional case of the integrals of Banach-space-valued functions. This is to prove that for a Henstock–Kurzweil integrable function, one can find a nondegenerate interval on which it is McShane integrable when Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ . Some other questions in connection with the McShane integral and the Henstock–Kurzweil integral are also studied.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $I_0$  be a compact interval in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  (or  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ) and  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  (or  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ) a measurable subset of  $I_0$ .  $\mu(E)$  stands for the Lebesgue measure. The Lebesgue integral of a function  $f$  over a set  $E$  will be denoted by  $(L) \int_E f$ .  $X$  is a real Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $X^*$  its dual.  $B(X^*) = \{x^* \in X^*; \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$  is the closed unit ball in  $X^*$ .

We say that the intervals  $I$  and  $J$  are nonoverlapping if  $\text{int}(I) \cap \text{int}(J) = \emptyset$ . By  $\text{int } J$  the interior of  $J$  is denoted.

A *partial M-partition*  $D$  in  $I_0$  is a finite collection of interval-point pairs  $(I, \xi)$  with nonoverlapping intervals  $I \subset I_0$ ,  $\xi \in I_0$  being the associated point of  $I$ . Requiring  $\xi \in I$  for the associated point of  $I$  we get the concept of a *partial K-partition*  $D$  in  $I_0$ . We write  $D = \{(I, \xi)\}$ .

A partial  $M$ -partition  $D = \{(I, \xi)\}$  in  $I_0$  is an  $M$ -partition of  $I_0$  if the union of all the intervals  $I$  equals  $I_0$  and similarly for a  $K$ -partition.

Let  $\delta$  be a positive function defined on the interval  $I_0$ . A partial  $M$ -partition ( $K$ -partition)  $D = \{(I, \xi)\}$  is said to be  $\delta$ -fine if for each interval-point pair  $(I, \xi) \in D$  we have  $I \subset B(\xi, \delta(\xi))$  where  $B(\xi, \delta(\xi)) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^m; \text{dist}(\xi, t) < \delta(\xi)\}$  and  $\text{dist}$  is the metric in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Definition 1.** An  $X$ -valued function  $f$  is said to be *McShane integrable* on  $I_0$  if there exists  $S_f \in X$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(t) > 0$ ,  $t \in I_0$ , such that for every  $\delta$ -fine  $M$ -partition  $D = \{(I, \xi)\}$  of  $I_0$ , we have

$$\left\| (D) \sum f(\xi) \mu(I) - S_f \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

We write  $(M) \int_{I_0} f = S_f$  and  $S_f$  is the *McShane integral* of  $f$  over  $I_0$ .

$f$  is McShane integrable on a set  $E \subset I_0$  if the function  $f \cdot \chi_E$  is McShane integrable on  $I_0$ , where  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E$ .

We write  $(M) \int_E f = (M) \int_{I_0} f \chi_E = F(E)$  for the McShane integral of  $f$  on  $E$ .

It is well known that the McShane and the Lebesgue integrals are equivalent.

Replacing the term “ $M$ -partition” by “ $K$ -partition” in the definition above we obtain *Henstock–Kurzweil integrability* and the definition of the *Henstock–Kurzweil integral*  $(HK) \int_{I_0} f$ .

It is clear that if  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is McShane integrable, then it is also Henstock–Kurzweil integrable because every  $K$ -partition is an  $M$ -partition.

The basic properties of the McShane integral and Henstock–Kurzweil integral, for example, linearity, additivity with respect to intervals, etc. can be found in [4–10,12,13,15–21]. We do not present them here. The reader is referred to the above mentioned references for the details.

### 3. The main results

By Proposition 3.5.4 of [19], the following lemma holds:

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil (McShane) integrable on  $I_0$ , then for each  $x^*$  in  $X^*$ ,  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock–Kurzweil (McShane) integrable on  $I_0$  and  $(HK) \int_{I_0} x^*(f) = x^*((HK) \int_{I_0} f)$   $((M) \int_{I_0} x^*(f) = x^*((HK) \int_{I_0} f))$ .*

In [11] it is shown that if a real-function  $f: I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$ , then there exists a sequence of closed sets  $F_i \subset I_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\bigcup_i F_i = I_0$  and  $f$  is Lebesgue integrable on each  $F_i$ . By Baire theorem, for each perfect set  $E$  there is  $F_{n_0}$  which contains a portion  $P = E \cap I$  of  $E$  such that  $f$  is McShane integrable on  $P$ . So we have

**Lemma 3.** *If a real-function  $f: I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$ , then each perfect set contains a portion on which  $f$  is McShane integrable.*

It is also easy to show that the following lemma holds (see [16]):

**Lemma 4.** *If  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$ , then  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on each subinterval  $I$  of  $I_0$ .*

By Lemmas 2 and 4, we obtain the following Lemma 5.

**Lemma 5.** *Assume that  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and that for every  $x^* \in X^*$  the real function  $x^*(f): I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is McShane integrable.*

*Then for every interval  $I \subset I_0$  we have*

$$(M) \int_I x^*(f) = x^* \left( (HK) \int_I f \right).$$

**Lemma 6.** *Assume that  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Dunford integrable on  $I_0$  with the indefinite Dunford integral  $v$  defined by*

$$v(E) = (D) \int_E f \in X^{**}.$$

*Assume that  $v(J) = (D) \int_J f \in X$  for every interval  $J \subset I_0$ . Then the following claims are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is Pettis integrable;
- (ii) for every sequence  $J_i \subset I_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , of nonoverlapping intervals the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v(J_i)$  is norm convergent in  $X$ ;
- (iii) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\|v(E)\| = \left\| (D) \int_E f \right\| < \varepsilon$$

provided  $E \subset I_0$  is measurable with  $\mu(E) < \eta$ ;

- (iv)  $v$  is countably additive.

This is Proposition 2B of [6] for the case of  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . Note that in [6] D.H. Fremlin and J. Mendoza only proved above lemma for the case of  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ . In fact, it also holds if the interval  $I_0$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Lemma 7.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$ . Assume that  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and Dunford integrable on  $I_0$  as well.

Then for every open set  $G \subset I_0$  there exists  $x_G \in X$  such that

$$(M) \int_G x^*(f) = x^*(x_G)$$

for every  $x^* \in X^*$ .

**Proof.** Given  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda < 1$  an interval  $I$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is called  $\lambda$ -regular if

$$r(I) = \frac{\mu(I)}{[d(I)]^m} > \lambda,$$

( $r(I)$  is the regularity of the interval  $I$ ) and  $d(I) = \sup\{|x - y|; x, y \in I\}$ ,  $|x - y| = \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, \dots, |x_m - y_m|\}$ , and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is an open subset of  $I_0$ .

For  $t \in G$  let  $\delta(t) > 0$  be such that  $B(t, \delta(t)) \subset G$ .

Let  $0 < \lambda < 1$  be fixed. Define

$$\Phi = \{I \subset I_0, I \text{ is an interval}; t \in I \subset B(t, \delta(t)), r(I) > \lambda, t \in G\}.$$

Then  $\Phi$  is a Vitali cover of  $G$  and if  $I \in \Phi$  then  $I \subset G$ .

By the Vitali covering theorem (see, e.g., [13, Proposition 9.2.4]), there is a sequence  $E_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $E_n$  is the finite union of nonoverlapping intervals belonging to  $\Phi$ ), such that

$$\mu(G \setminus E_n) < \frac{1}{n},$$

i.e.,  $\mu(G \setminus E_n) \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $E_n \subset G$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Denote  $E_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Since  $G \setminus E_0 \subset G \setminus E_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\mu(G \setminus E_0) \leq \mu(G \setminus E_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and consequently  $\mu(G \setminus E_0) = 0$ . This yields  $\mu(E_0) = \mu(G)$ .

Let us set  $F_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ . Then clearly  $F_n \nearrow E_0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $F_n$  can be expressed as a finite union of nonoverlapping intervals in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Set  $F_0 = \emptyset$  and define  $K_n = F_n \setminus F_{n-1}^o$  where  $F_{n-1}^o$  is the interior of the set  $F_{n-1}$ . We have  $E_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ ,  $K_n^o \cap K_l^o = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq l$  and again  $K_n$  can be expressed as a finite union of nonoverlapping intervals in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , i.e.

$$K_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_n} I_i^n,$$

while  $\{I_i^n; i = 1, \dots, p_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  forms an at most countable system of nonoverlapping intervals contained in  $E_0$ .

Since  $\bigcup_{n=1}^p K_n \subset E_0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^p \mu(K_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^p K_n) \leq \mu(E_0) = \mu(G) \leq \mu(I_0) < \infty$ .

Given  $x^* \in X^*$  the real function  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $I_0$  and therefore it is also Lebesgue integrable on  $I_0$ .

Hence the Lebesgue integral  $\int_G x^*(f)$  exists and

$$\int_G x^*(f) = \int_{E_0} x^*(f)$$

because  $\mu(G \setminus E_0) = 0$  and  $E_0 \subset G$ .

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M) \int_G x^*(f) &= (M) \int_{E_0} x^*(f) \\ &= (M) \int_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n} x^*(f) = (M) \int_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_n} I_i^n} x^*(f) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} (M) \int_{I_i^n} x^*(f) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5 we have

$$(M) \int_{I_i^n} x^*(f) = x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_i^n} f \right)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p_n$ , and this gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_i^n} f \right) < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that if we take an arbitrary rearrangement of terms in the sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} (M) \int_{I_i^n} x^*(f)$$

we obtain the same integral  $(M) \int_G x^*(f)$ . This means that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_i^n} f \right)$$

of real numbers is unconditionally, and therefore also absolutely, convergent.

Since  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ , by the Bessaga–Pelczynski theorem [3, p. 22] the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} (HK) \int_{I_i^n} f$  is unconditionally convergent in norm to an element  $x_G \in X$ .

For every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$x^* \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} (HK) \int_{I_i^n} f \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_i^n} f \right)$$

and for  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the left-hand side of this equality converges to  $x^*(x_G)$  while the right-hand side converges to  $(M) \int_G x^*(f)$ . This yields  $(M) \int_G x^*(f) = x^*(x_G)$  for every  $x^* \in X^*$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$ . Assume that  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and that for every  $x^* \in X^*$  the real function  $x^*(f): I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is McShane integrable.

Then for every closed set  $H \subset I_0$  there exists an element  $x_H \in X$  such that

$$(M) \int_H x^*(f) = x^*(x_H)$$

for every  $x^* \in X^*$ .

**Proof.** If  $H \subset I_0$  is closed then  $I_0 \setminus H$  is open and for every  $x^* \in X^*$  we have

$$x^* \left( (M) \int_{I_0} f \right) = \int_{I_0} x^*(f) = \int_H x^*(f) + \int_{I_0 \setminus H} x^*(f) = \int_H x^*(f) + x^*(x_{I_0 \setminus H}),$$

where for the open set  $I_0 \setminus H$  the element  $x_{I_0 \setminus H} \in X$  is given by Lemma 7.

Hence

$$\int_H x^*(f) = x^* \left( (M) \int_{I_0} f - x_{I_0 \setminus H} \right)$$

and we put  $x_H = (M) \int_{I_0} f - x_{I_0 \setminus H} \in X$ .  $\square$

The next statement is a corollary of Lemma 6.

**Corollary 9.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$ . Assume that  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and that for every  $x^* \in X^*$  the real function  $x^*(f): I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  is McShane integrable. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\left\| (D) \int_E f \right\| < \varepsilon$$

provided  $E \subset I_0$  is measurable with  $\mu(E) < \eta$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 4, for every interval  $J \subset I_0$  we have  $v(J) = (D) \int_J f = (HK) \int_J f \in X$ .

Assume that  $J_i \subset I_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a sequence of nonoverlapping intervals. Then the Henstock–Kurzweil integral  $(HK) \int_{J_i} f \in X$  exists for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and by Lemma 5 we have  $x^*((HK) \int_{J_i} f) = (M) \int_{J_i} x^*(f)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is easy to see, by McShane integrability of  $x^*f$  on  $I_0$ , that the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^*((HK) \int_{J_i} f)$  of real numbers is absolutely convergent.

Since  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ , by the Bessaga–Pelczynski theorem [3, p. 22] the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{J_i} f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (D) \int_{J_i} f$  is unconditionally convergent in norm to an element  $x \in X$ .

Then (ii) of Lemma 6 is satisfied and therefore we obtain the corollary.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$ . Assume that  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and that  $f$  is Dunford integrable on  $I_0$ . Then  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Pettis integrable.

**Proof.** It follows at once from Lemma 8, Corollary 9 and Lemma 6.  $\square$

Let us note that if the function  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable, by Lemma 2, for each  $x^* \in X^*$  the real function  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and if we further assume that  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on a subset  $H$  of  $I_0$ , then  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $G = I_0 \setminus H$  and  $(HK) \int_G x^*(f) = (HK) \int_{I_0} x^*(f) - (M) \int_H x^*(f)$ .

Now we introduce the following concept:

**Property (P).** We say that a Henstock–Kurzweil integrable function  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  satisfies the property (P) if for every open subset  $G$  of  $I_0$  there is a family  $\{I_n\}$  of nonoverlapping intervals  $I_n$  such that  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , for each  $x^* \in X^*$  the function  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $H = I_0 \setminus G$  and equality

$$(HK) \int_G x^*(f) = (HK) \int_{I_0} x^*(f) - (M) \int_H x^*(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f) \quad (1)$$

holds, where the series of the right hand is absolutely convergent.

The following is an example of function defined on an compact interval of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $m > 1$ , taking values in a Banach space which contains no copy of  $c_0$  and satisfying Property (P).

**Example.** Let  $X$  be an infinite-dimensional Banach space and contain no copy of  $c_0$ . Suppose that a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  in  $X$  is unconditionally convergent and not absolutely convergent. For every positive integers  $i, j$  let

$$I_{i,j} = \left( \frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i} \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{j+1}, \frac{1}{j} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The set  $\{I_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$  is countable, we denote its elements by  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n, \dots$  for convenience.

Define a function  $f: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  by  $f(t) = \frac{1}{\mu(I_n)} x_n$  for  $t$  in  $I_n$  and  $f(t) = 0$  for all other values of  $t$ . Obviously, the function  $f$  is measurable. By Proposition 2.3.3 and Theorem 6.2.1 of [19],  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable.

Since  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ , then for each  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_n)|$  is convergent. Hence,

$$\int_{I_0} |x^*(f)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{I_n} |x^*(f)| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_n)| < \infty.$$

It is easy to verify that for every open subset  $G$  of  $I_0$  with  $G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k$  and  $\{J_k\}$  is a family of nonoverlapping intervals, for each  $x^* \in X^*$  the function  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $H = I_0 \setminus G$  and the equality

$$\int_G x^*(f) = \int_{I_0} x^*(f) - (M) \int_H x^*(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{J_k} x^*(f)$$

holds. Therefore,  $f$  satisfies Property (P).

**Lemma 11.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$  and  $f : I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$ . Assume that for each  $x^* \in X^*$  the function  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on a closed subset  $H$  of  $I_0$  and  $f$  satisfies Property (P). Then there exists an element  $x_H \in X$  such that

$$(M) \int_H x^*(f) = x^*(x_H)$$

for each  $x^* \in X^*$ .

**Proof.** For each  $x^* \in X^*$ , the real function  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  and by the McShane integrability of  $x^*(f)$  on  $H$ ,  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on the open set  $G = I_0 \setminus H$ . It follows from Property (P) that for each  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f)$  is absolutely convergent and

$$(HK) \int_G x^*(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, Lemma 4 shows that  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on each subinterval  $I_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$(HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f) = x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_n} f \right)$$

for each  $x^* \in X^*$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So, for each  $x^* \in X^*$ , (2) can be written as follows:

$$(HK) \int_G x^*(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_n} f \right) < \infty \quad (3)$$

and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^*((HK) \int_{I_n} f)$  is absolutely convergent.

Since  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ , by the Bessaga–Pelczynski theorem [3, p. 22] the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} f$  is unconditionally convergent in norm to an element  $x_G \in X$  and



$$\begin{aligned}
 (HK) \int_G x^*(f) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_n} f \right) \\
 &= x^* \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} f \right) = x^*(x_G).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(M) \int_H x^*(f) = (HK) \int_{I_0} x^*(f) - (HK) \int_G x^*(f) = x^* \left( (HK) \int_{I_0} f - x_G \right).$$

Denote  $x_H = (HK) \int_{I_0} f - x_G$ , then  $x_H \in X$  and  $(M) \int_H x^*(f) = x^*(x_H)$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** For 1-dimensional sense ( $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ), Property (P) in Lemma 11 can be removed. Because if  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $G = I_0 \setminus H$  is an open set in  $I_0$  and further let  $\{I_n\}$  be an enumeration of the intervals contiguous to  $H$ . Then

$$I_0 = H \cup G = H \cup \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(G) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(I_n).$$

Theorem 15.10 of [9] (or Theorem 1 of [18]) shows that

$$(HK) \int_G x^*(f) = (HK) \int_{I_0} x^*(f) - (M) \int_H x^*(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f)$$

and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (HK) \int_{I_n} x^*(f)$  is absolutely convergent. Therefore, Property (P) automatically holds. Hence, we have the following Corollary 12.

**Corollary 12.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$  and  $f : I_0 \rightarrow X$  ( $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ) is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$ . Assume that  $H$  is a closed set in  $I_0$  and for each  $x^* \in X^*$  the real function  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $H$ . Then there exists an element  $x_H \in X$  such that

$$(M) \int_H x^*(f) = x^*(x_H)$$

for each  $x^* \in X^*$ .

**Remark.** In the proof of Lemma 11, (1) is a key form. For the multidimensional Henstock–Kurzweil integral, (1) does not automatically hold, because from the Henstock–Kurzweil integrability of the function  $f$  on the interval  $I_0$  one can not deduce that  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on a measurable subset of  $I_0$  (see [19]). Therefore, taking notice of Theorem 10, we have the following Corollary 13.

**Corollary 13.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$  and  $H$  is a closed set in  $I_0$  ( $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ). Assume that  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $H$  and further for

each  $x^* \in X^*$  the real function  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $H$ . Then there exists an element  $x_H \in X$  such that

$$(M) \int_H x^*(f) = x^*(x_H)$$

for each  $x^* \in X^*$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 10  $f \chi_H$  is Pettis integrable on  $I_0$  and therefore  $f$  is Pettis integrable on  $H$ , so there is an element  $x_H \in X$  such that  $(M) \int_H x^*(f) = x^*(x_H)$  for each  $x^* \in X^*$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$  and  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfies Property (P) and that  $f$  is Dunford integrable on a measurable set  $E_0 \subset I_0$ .

Then  $f$  is Pettis integrable on  $E_0$ .

**Proof.** The Dunford integrability of  $f$  on the measurable set  $E_0 \subset I_0$  shows that  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $E_0$ . By Lemma 2,  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$  for each  $x^* \in X^*$ . It follows that  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0 \setminus E_0$  and

$$(HK) \int_{I_0 \setminus E_0} x^*(f) = (HK) \int_{I_0} x^*(f) - (M) \int_{E_0} x^*(f).$$

To prove that  $f$  is Pettis integrable on  $E_0$ , we have to show that for every measurable  $E \subset E_0$  there is  $x_E \in X$  such that  $(M) \int_E x^*(f) = x^*(x_E)$  for each  $x^* \in X^*$ .

In fact, for every measurable subset  $E \subset E_0$  and for each  $x^* \in X^*$ , the McShane integrability of  $x^*(f)$  on  $E_0$  implies that  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $E \subset E_0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a sequence of closed subsets  $H_n \subset E$  such that

$$H_n \subset H_{n+1}, \quad \mu(E \setminus H_n) < \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$\mu\left(E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n\right) = 0.$$

By the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral we have

$$(M) \int_E x^*(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M) \int_{H_n} x^*(f).$$

It follows from Lemma 11 that there exist  $x_{H_n} \in X$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$(M) \int_{H_n} x^*(f) = x^*(x_{H_n})$$

and

$$(M) \int_E x^*(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M) \int_{H_n} x^*(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^*(x_{H_n})$$

for each  $x^* \in X^*$ .

Let  $H_0 = \emptyset$ . Then for each  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (M) \int_E x^*(f) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M) \int_{H_n} x^*(f) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (M) \int_{H_n} x^*(f) - (M) \int_{H_{n-1}} x^*(f) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [x^*(x_{H_n}) - x^*(x_{H_{n+1}})] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^*(x_{H_n} - x_{H_{n+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ , by the Bessaga–Pelczynski theorem [3, p. 22], there is  $x_E \in X$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_{H_n} - x_{H_{n+1}})$  is unconditionally convergent in norm to  $x_E \in X$  and  $(M) \int_E x^*(f) = x^*(x_E)$ . Hence,  $f$  is Pettis integrable on  $E_0$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

In [4, Theorem 8] D.H. Fremlin proved the following result for the case of an interval  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ .

**Lemma 15.** *A function  $f : I_0 \rightarrow X$  is McShane integrable on  $I_0$  if and only if it is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable and Pettis integrable.*

Checking Fremlin’s proof it can be seen that it still holds when  $I_0$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . In fact, Lemma 15 was also proved in [19] for the case of  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Remark.** The theorem in [20, p. 535] points out that a function  $f : I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Pettis integrable, then  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable. In fact, this result is not correct. Otherwise, suppose that the theorem in [20, p. 535] holds, this means that the Pettis integrable function  $f$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on  $I_0$ . It follows immediately from Lemma 15 that  $f$  is McShane integrable on  $I_0$ . However, the example 3C [6, p. 143] and the example (CH) [21, p. 1184] show that there is a function  $f$  such that  $f$  is Pettis integrable but not McShane integrable.

We come now to our main results.

**Theorem 16.** *Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$ . Assume that the function  $f : I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable and satisfies Property (P). Then each perfect set contains a portion on which  $f$  is McShane integrable.*

**Proof.** Let  $E$  be a perfect set in  $I_0$  and let  $\Delta = \{I_n\}$  be the sequence of all open intervals in  $I_0$  that intersect  $E$  and have rational endpoints. Let  $E_n = E \cap I_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . For each pair of positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  let  $E_m^n = \{x^* \in X^* : \int_{E_n} |x^*(f)| \leq m\}$ . Then  $X^* = \bigcup_m^\infty \bigcup_n^\infty E_m^n$ .

In fact, for each  $m$  and  $n$  we have  $E_m^n \subset X^*$ , so  $\bigcup_m^\infty \bigcup_n^\infty E_m^n \subset X^*$ . On the other hand, for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , by Lemma 2,  $x^*(f)$  is Henstock integrable on  $I_0$ . It follows from Lemma 3 that each perfect set  $E$  contains a portion  $P = E \cap I$  on which  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable. So there is a  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $I_{n_0} \subset I$  and  $I_{n_0} \in \Delta$ . Note that  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on  $P = E \cap I$ , then  $x^*(f)$  is McShane integrable on a portion  $E_{n_0} = E \cap I_{n_0} \subset E \cap I$  and therefore there is a  $m_0$  such that  $\int_{E_{n_0}} |x^*(f)| \leq m_0$ . Therefore,  $x^* \in E_{m_0}^{n_0}$  and  $X^* \supset \bigcup_m^\infty \bigcup_n^\infty E_m^n$ . That is,  $X^* = \bigcup_m^\infty \bigcup_n^\infty E_m^n$ .

Now we prove that each of the sets  $E_m^n$  is closed.

Let  $x^*$  be a limit point of  $E_m^n$  and  $\{x_k^*\}$  a sequence in  $E_m^n$  that converges to  $x^*$ . Then the sequence  $\{|x_k^* f|\}$  converges pointwise on  $I_0$  to the function  $|x^*(f)|$  and by Fatou's lemma we have

$$\int_{E_n} |x^*(f)| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{E_n} |x_k^* f| \right\} \leq m.$$

This shows that  $x^* \in E_m^n$  and conclude that the set  $E_m^n$  is closed.

By the Baire Category Theorem, there exist  $M, N, x_0^*$ , and  $r > 0$  such that

$$\{x^*: \|x^* - x_0^*\| \leq r\} \subset E_M^N.$$

For each  $x^*$  in  $X^*$  with  $\|x^*\| \neq 0$ , by  $x_0^* \in \{x^*: \|x^* - x_0^*\| \leq r\}$ ,  $\frac{r}{\|x^*\|} x^* + x_0^* \in \{x^*: \|x^* - x_0^*\| \leq r\}$ , we find that

$$\int_{E_N} |x^*(f)| \leq \frac{\|x^*\|}{r} \left\{ \int_{E_N} \left| \frac{r}{\|x^*\|} x^*(f) + x_0^*(f) \right| + \int_{E_N} |x_0^*(f)| \right\} \leq \frac{2M}{r} \|x^*\|.$$

Hence, for each  $x^*$  in  $X^*$  the function  $x^*(f)$  is Lebesgue integrable on the portion  $E_N = E \cap I_N$ . This shows that  $f$  is Dunford integrable on  $E \cap I_N$ .

According to Theorem 14, we obtain that  $f$  is Pettis integrable on  $E \cap I_N$ . It follows from Lemma 15 that  $f$  is McShane integrable on  $E \cap I_N = P_0$ .  $\square$

Note that the “perfect set” in Theorem 16 may be replaced by “closed set”, the result still holds.

**Remark.** In the proof of Theorem 16, taking the perfect set  $E$  as a subinterval  $I$  of  $I_0$ , i.e.,  $E = I$ , the portion  $E_N = I \cap I_N$  of  $I$  is still a subinterval  $J$  of  $I_0$ . That is,  $J = I \cap I_N$  is a subinterval of  $I_0$ . Checking the above proof it can be seen that  $f$  is Dunford integrable on the subinterval  $J$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 4,  $f$  is always Henstock–Kurzweil integrable on the subinterval  $J$  of  $I_0$ . It follows from Theorem 10 that  $f$  is Pettis integrable on  $J$ . By Lemma 15  $f$  is McShane integrable on  $J$ . Hence, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 17.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$  and  $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . If a function  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable, then there exists a subinterval  $J$  of  $I_0$  such that  $f$  is McShane integrable on  $J$ .

This is an answer to Karták's question from [1] for the Banach space case mentioned in the introduction.

Using the Baire Category Theorem and Theorem 9 in [17] (or Theorem 4.16 in [19]), the following theorem can be obtained.

**Theorem 18.** Suppose that the Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$  and that a function  $f: I_0 \rightarrow X$  is given. Then  $I_0$  can be written as a countable union of closed sets  $E_n$  such that  $f$  is McShane integrable on each  $E_n$  if and only if every closed set contains a portion on which  $f$  is McShane integrable.

Combining Theorems 16 and 18, we obtain the statement as follows.

**Theorem 19.** *Suppose that  $X$  contains no copy of the space  $c_0$ . Assume that a function  $f : I_0 \rightarrow X$  is Henstock–Kurzweil integrable and satisfies Property (P). Then  $I_0$  can be written as a countable union of closed sets  $E_n$  such that  $f$  is McShane integrable on each  $E_n$ .*

## Acknowledgments

The author expresses her thanks to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions. The author is also very grateful to Professor Schwabik for his help to finish this paper.

## References

- [1] K.M. Ostaszewski, Henstock integration in the plane, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 63 (1986).
- [2] Z. Buczolic, Henstock integrable functions are Lebesgue integrable on a portion, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 111 (1991) 127–129.
- [3] J. Diestel, J.J. Uhl Jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys, vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [4] D.H. Fremlin, The Henstock and McShane integrals of vector-valued functions, *Illinois J. Math.* 38 (1994) 471–479.
- [5] K. Karták, K teorii vicerozmerneho integralu, *Casopis Pest. Mat.* 80 (1955) 400–414.
- [6] D.H. Fremlin, J. Mendoza, The integration of vector-valued functions, *Illinois J. Math.* 38 (1994) 127–147.
- [7] R.A. Gordon, The McShane integral of Banach-valued functions, *Illinois J. Math.* 34 (1990) 557–567.
- [8] R.A. Gordon, The Denjoy extension of the Bochner, Pettis, and Dunford integrals, *Studia Math.* T.XCII (1989) 73–91.
- [9] R.A. Gordon, The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1994.
- [10] S.S. Cao, The Henstock integral for Banach-valued functions, *SEA Bull. Math.* 16 (1992) 35–40.
- [11] J. Kurzweil, J. Jarník, Equiintegrability and controlled convergence of Perron-type integrable functions, *Real Anal. Exchange* 17 (1991–1992) 110–139.
- [12] Lee Peng Yee, *Lanzhou Lectures on Henstock Integration*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [13] W.F. Pfeffer, *The Riemann Approach to Integration: Local Geometric Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [14] S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, Hafner, New York, 1937.
- [15] Š. Schwabik, Ye Guoju, On the strong McShane integral of functions with values in a Banach space, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 51 (2001) 819–830.
- [16] Ye Guoju, Š. Schwabik, The McShane integral and the Pettis integral of Banach space-valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , *Illinois J. Math.* 46 (2002) 1125–1144.
- [17] J. Kurzweil, Š. Schwabik, On the McShane integrability of Banach space-valued functions, *Real Anal. Exchange* 2 (2003/2004) 763–780.
- [18] J.L. Gómez, J. Mendoza, On Denjoy–Dunford and Denjoy–Pettis integrals, *Studia Math.* 2 (1998) 115–133.
- [19] Š. Schwabik, Ye Guoju, *Topics in Banach Space Integration*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.
- [20] C.K. Fong, A continuous version of Orlicz–Pettis theorem via vector-valued Henstock–Kurzweil integration, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 52 (2002) 531–536.
- [21] L. Di Piazza, K. Musiał, A characterization of variationally McShane integrable Banach-space valued functions, *Illinois J. Math.* 45 (2001) 279–289.